1		
2	BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP Mark C. Mao (CA Bar No. 236165)	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP
3	mmao@bsfllp.com 44 Montgomery Street, 41st Floor	Andrew H. Schapiro (pro hac vice) andrewschapiro@quinnemanuel.com
4	San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 293 6858	191 N. Wacker Drive, Suite 2700 Chicago, IL 60606
5	Facsimile: (415) 999 9695	Telephone: (312) 705-7400 Facsimile: (312) 705-7401
6	SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P. William Christopher Carmody (pro hac vice)	Stephen A. Broome (CA Bar No. 314605)
7	bcarmody@susmangodfrey.com Shawn J. Rabin (pro hac vice)	stephenbroome@quinnemanuel.com Viola Trebicka (CA Bar No. 269526)
8	srabin@susmangodfrey.com 1301 Avenue of the Americas, 32nd Floor	violatrebicka@quinnemanuel.com 865 S. Figueroa Street, 10th Floor
9	New York, NY 10019 Telephone: (212) 336-8330	Los Angeles, CA 90017 Telephone: (213) 443-3000 Facsimile: (213) 443-3100
10	MORGAN & MORGAN	1405(210) 0100
11	John A. Yanchunis (pro hac vice) jyanchunis@forthepeople.com  Prop. I. McCoo (pro hac vice)	Jomaire A. Crawford (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> ) jomairecrawford@quinnemanuel.com
12	Ryan J. McGee (pro hac vice) rmcgee@forthepeople.com	51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor New York, NY 10010
13	201 N. Franklin Street, 7th Floor Tampa, FL 33602	Telephone: (212) 849-7000 Facsimile: (212) 849-7100
14	Telephone: (813) 223-5505	14051111101 (212) 019 7100
15	Attorneys for Plaintiffs; additional counsel listed in signature blocks below	Attorneys for Defendant; additional counsel listed in signature blocks below
16		
17	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
18	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CAL	LIFORNIA, OAKLAND DIVISION
19	GYLLGOM PROWN	Case No. 4:20-cv-03664-YGR-SVK
20	CHASOM BROWN, et al., Plaintiffs,	JOINT SUBMISSION RE: SEALING
21		PORTIONS OF THE FEBRUARY 28, 2022 HEARING TRANSCRIPT IN RESPONSE
22	V.	TO DKT. 440
23	GOOGLE LLC,	Referral: Hon. Susan van Keulen, USMJ
24	Defendant.	
25		•
$\begin{bmatrix} 25 \\ 26 \end{bmatrix}$		
27		
28		

1	March 10, 2022		
2	Submitted via ECF		
3 4 5	Magistrate Judge Susan van Keulen San Jose Courthouse Courtroom 6 - 4th Floor 280 South 1st Street San Jose, CA 95113		
6 7	Re: Joint Submission Re: Sealing Portions of the February 28, 2022 Hearing Transcript in Response to Dkt. 440  Brown v. Google LLC, Case No. 4:20-cv-03664-YGR-SVK (N.D. Cal.)		
8	Dear Magistrate Judge van Keulen:		
9	Pursuant to Your Honor's March 1, 2022 Order Releasing the Sealed February 28, 2022		
10	Hearing Transcript, Plaintiffs and Google LLC ("Google") jointly submit this statement.		
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	-1- Case No. 4:20-cv-03664-YGR-SVK		

JOINT SUBMISSION RE: SEALING PORTIONS OF FEBRUARY 28, 2022 TRANSCRIPT (DKT. 440)

Google respectfully seeks to seal the following portions of the February 28, 2022 Hearing Transcript ("Transcript"), which contain Google's confidential and proprietary information regarding highly sensitive features of Google's internal systems and operations that Google does not share publicly, including details related to internal projects, identifiers, data fields, dashboards, and logs and their proprietary functionalities, and internal investigations of features, that Google maintains as confidential in the ordinary course of its business and is not generally known to the public or Google's competitors. This information is highly confidential and should be protected.

This Administrative Motion pertains to the following information contained in the Order:

Document	Portions to be Filed Under Seal	Party Claiming Confidentiality
Transcript	Portions highlighted in yellow at:	Google
	7:1, 7:11, 8:5-6, 8:12, 10:24, 11:14, 12:2-3,	
	12:5-7, 12:10, 12:23, 13:5, 18:5-6, 18:22-19:1,	
	19:3-4, 19:7-8, 20:16-17, 28:17, 30:11, 31:20,	
	33:22-23, 34:4-5, 34:18, 35:2, 37:17, 37:24,	
	38:14, 44:24, 45:6, 45:14, 45:24, 46:7, 46:13-	
	17, 46:25, 47:3, 47:21-22, 48:3, 48:6-8, 48:10-	
	12, 48:20, 48:23-24, 49:3, 49:6-7, 49:18,	
	49:22, 49:25, 50:2-4, 50:6-9, 51:21-22, 52:6,	
	52:19, 52:21-22, 58:17-19, 58:25, 59:16,	
	59:21, 60:13, 65:24, 66:25, 79:18	

The parties conferred on the proposed redactions to the Transcript. Plaintiffs take no position on sealing the proposed redactions.

## I. LEGAL STANDARD

The common law right of public access to judicial records in a civil case is not a constitutional right and it is "not absolute." *Nixon v. Warner Communications, Inc.*, 435 U.S. 589, 598 (1978) (noting that the "right to inspect and copy judicial records is not absolute" and that "courts have refused to permit their files to serve as reservoirs of . . . sources of business information that might harm a litigant's competitive standing"). Sealing is appropriate when the information at issue constitutes "competitively sensitive information," such as "confidential research, development, or commercial information." *France Telecom S.A. v. Marvell Semiconductor Inc.*, 2014 WL 4965995, at \*4 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 3, 2014); *see also Phillips v. Gen. Motors Corp.*, 307 F.3d 1206, 1211 (9th Cir.

2002) (acknowledging courts' "broad latitude" to "prevent disclosure of materials for many types of information, including, but not limited to, trade secrets or other confidential research, development, or commercial information").

## II. THE ABOVE IDENTIFIED MATERIALS EASILY MEET THE "GOOD CAUSE" STANDARD AND SHOULD ALL BE SEALED

Courts have repeatedly found it appropriate to seal documents that contain medical information or "business information that might harm a litigant's competitive standing." *Nixon*, 435 U.S. at 589-99; *see also Turner v. United States*, 2019 WL 4732143, at \*9 (finding good cause to seal "confidential medical information"). Good cause to seal is shown when a party seeks to seal materials that "contain[] confidential information about the operation of [the party's] products and that public disclosure could harm [the party] by disclosing confidential technical information." *Digital Reg. of Texas, LLC v. Adobe Sys., Inc.*, 2014 WL 6986068, at \*1 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 10, 2014). Materials that could harm a litigant's competitive standing may be sealed even under the "compelling reasons" standard. *See e.g., Icon-IP Pty Ltd. v. Specialized Bicycle Components, Inc.*, 2015 WL 984121, at \*2 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 4, 2015) (information "is appropriately sealable under the 'compelling reasons' standard where that information could be used to the company's competitive disadvantage") (citation omitted).

Here, the Transcript comprises confidential information regarding highly sensitive features of Google's internal systems and operations that Google does not share publicly. Specifically, this information provides details related to internal projects, identifiers, data fields, dashboards, and logs and their proprietary functionalities, and internal investigations of features. Such information reveals Google's internal strategies, system designs, and business practices for operating and maintaining many of its important services while complying with legal and privacy obligations.

Public disclosure of the above-listed information would harm Google's competitive standing it has earned through years of innovation and careful deliberation, by revealing sensitive aspects of Google's proprietary systems, strategies, designs, and practices to Google's competitors. That alone is a proper basis to seal such information. *See*, *e.g.*, *Free Range Content*, *Inc. v. Google Inc.*, No. 14-cv-02329-BLF, Dkt. No. 192, at 3-9 (N.D. Cal. May 3, 2017) (granting Google's motion to seal certain

sensitive business information related to Google's processes and policies to ensure the integrity and security of a different advertising system); *Huawei Techs., Co. v. Samsung Elecs. Co.*, No. 3:16-cv-02787-WHO, Dkt. No. 446, at 19 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 30, 2019) (sealing confidential sales data because "disclosure would harm their competitive standing by giving competitors insight they do not have"); *Trotsky v. Travelers Indem. Co.*, 2013 WL 12116153, at \*8 (W.D. Wash. May 8, 2013) (granting motion to seal as to "internal research results that disclose statistical coding that is not publically available").

Moreover, if publicly disclosed, malicious actors may use such information to seek to compromise Google's internal systems and data structures. Google would be placed at an increased risk of cybersecurity threats, and data related to its users could similarly be at risk. *See, e.g., In re Google Inc. Gmail Litig.*, 2013 WL 5366963, at \*3 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 25, 2013) (sealing "material concern[ing] how users' interactions with the Gmail system affects how messages are transmitted" because if made public, it "could lead to a breach in the security of the Gmail system"). The security threat is an additional reason for this Court to seal the identified information.

The information Google seeks to redact is the minimal amount of information needed to protect its internal systems and operations from being exposed to not only its competitors but also to nefarious actors who may improperly seek access to and disrupt these systems and operations. The "good cause" rather than the "compelling reasons" standard should apply but under either standard, Google's sealing request is warranted.

## III. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Google respectfully requests that the Court seal the identified portions of the Transcript.

1		Respectfully,
2 3	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP	BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP
4	/s/ Andrew H. Schapiro	/s/ Mark C. Mao
5	Andrew H. Schapiro (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> ) andrewschapiro@quinnemanuel.com	Mark C. Mao (CA Bar No. 236165) mmao@bsfllp.com
6	191 N. Wacker Drive, Suite 2700 Chicago, IL 60606	Sean Phillips Rodriguez (CA Bar No. 262437)
7	Tel: (312) 705-7400 Fax: (312) 705-7401	srodriguez@bsfllp.com Beko Reblitz-Richardson (CA Bar No.
8	Stephen A. Broome (CA Bar No. 314605) sb@quinnemanuel.com	238027) <u>brichardson@bsfllp.com</u> 44 Montgomery Street, 41 <sup>st</sup> Floor
9	Viola Trebicka (CA Bar No. 269526)	San Francisco, CA 94104
10	violatrebicka@quinnemanuel.com 865 S. Figueroa Street, 10th Floor	Tel: (415) 293 6858 Fax: (415) 999 9695
11	Los Angeles, CA 90017 Tel: (213) 443-3000	James W. Lee (pro hac vice)
12	Fax: (213) 443-3100	jlee@bsfllp.com Rossana Baeza ( <i>pro hac vice</i> )
13	Diane M. Doolittle (CA Bar No. 142046) dianedoolittle@quinnemanuel.com	<u>rbaeza@bsfllp.com</u> 100 SE 2 <sup>nd</sup> Street, Suite 2800
14	555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5th Floor   Redwood Shores, CA 94065	Miami, FL 33130 Tel: (305) 539-8400
15	Telephone: (650) 801-5000 Facsimile: (650) 801-5100	Fax: (305) 539-1304
16	Jomaire A. Crawford (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> )	William Christopher Carmody ( <i>pro hac vice</i> )
17	jomairecrawford@quinnemanuel.com 51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor	bcarmody@susmangodfrey.com Shawn J. Rabin (pro hac vice)
18	New York, NY 10010	srabin@susmangodfrey.com
19	Telephone: (212) 849-7000 Facsimile: (212) 849-7100	Steven Shepard (pro hac vice) sshepard@susmangodfrey.com
20	Josef Ansorge (admitted pro hac vice)	Alexander P. Frawley (pro hac vice) <u>afrawley@susmangodfrey.com</u>
21	josefansorge@quinnemanuel.com Carl Spilly (admitted pro hac vice)	SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P. 1301 Avenue of the Americas, 32 <sup>nd</sup> Floor
22	carlspilly@quinnemanuel.com 1300 I Street NW, Suite 900	New York, NY 10019 Tel: (212) 336-8330
23	Washington D.C., 20005 Tel: (202) 538-8000	Amanda Bonn (CA Bar No. 270891)
	Fax: (202) 538-8100	<u>abonn@susmangodfrey.com</u> SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.
24	Jonathan Tse (CA Bar No. 305468) jonathantse@quinnemanuel.com	1900 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1400 Los Angeles, CA 90067
25	50 California Street, 22nd Floor San Francisco, CA 94111	Tel: (310) 789-3100
26	Tel: (415) 875-6600	John A. Yanchunis (pro hac vice)
27	Fax: (415) 875-6700	<u>jyanchunis@forthepeople.com</u> Ryan J. McGee ( <i>pro hac vice</i> )
28	Attorneys for Defendant Google LLC	rmcgee@forthepeople.com MORGAN & MORGAN, P.A.

JOINT SUBMISSION RE: SEALING PORTIONS OF FEBRUARY 28, 2022 TRANSCRIPT (DKT. 440)

## 

- 1	
1	201 N Franklin Street, 7th Floor Tampa, FL 33602
2	Tel: (813) 223-5505 Fax: (813) 222-4736
3 4	Michael F. Ram (CA Bar No. 104805) mram@forthepeople.com
5	MORGAN & MORGAN, P.A. 711 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 500
6	San Francisco, CA 94102 Tel: (415) 358-6913
7	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	-6- Case No. 4:20-cv-03664-YGR-SVI

1	ATTESTATION OF CONCURRENCE	
2	I am the ECF user whose ID and password are being used to file this Joint Submission.	
3	Pursuant to Civil L.R. 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that each of the signatories identified above has	
4	concurred in the filing of this document.	
5		
6	Dated: March 11, 2022 By /s/ Andrew H. Schapiro	
7	Dated: March 11, 2022  By /s/ Andrew H. Schapiro  Andrew H. Schapiro  Counsel on behalf of Google LLC	
8		
9		
10   11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
- 1		